

2047 -00-62

EPA REGION-9 SUPERFUND RECORDS CENTER



2047-00062

WASTE DISPOSAL INC

PROPERTY OF EPA REGION 9

HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION



PLEASE RETURN TO RECORDS CENTER
215 FREMONT STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

POOR LEGIBILITY

**ONE OR MORE PAGES IN THIS DOCUMENT ARE DIFFICULT TO READ
DUE TO THE QUALITY OF THE ORIGINAL**

- nl 49 We notified county dump operations unbearable: dust in air; noise during sleeping hours excessive dust in air; excessive dirt and truck dripping. a Barton Rd. Greenleaf Ave. etc; heavy trucks damaging street surface.
- Immense children in danger because of open cement bowls; caves in loose dirt and under huge slabs of concrete and rock; heavy truck traffic; and large number of wild dogs. Sheriff's office, humane society, etc. reply they are unable to control them until area fenced or contained.
- nl 49 County replied "no record or knowledge of dump; their field man affirms all above violations do exist; they will try to locate dump owners to correct above.
- ep 49 We again called County..."what are you doing about dump". They reply they are unsuccessful in locating dump owners.
- op 49 We told County home address for Pitts and Canger and business office for both men and told County any of us can see and talk to either these men any day of week. We suspect County does not want to locate them.
- pt 49 County replied to us "we have now located them".
- sf 49 We called County again...."what are you doing now"
- sp 49 County replied that their field investigator writes in his report "these people are slow to comply with our requirements"
- st 49 Phoned County again....."what are you doing now and why is it nothing is done until we phone you and press for action".
- 11-49 County says they cannot get operators to comply and have written letter to Sheriff's office that dump is not licensed and Sheriff should arrest everyone dumping every time.
- 26-49 Over three months after our first call County reports they are now able to report that dump operator will meet with County Engineer about securing permit.
- 49 Spec. permit applied for; hearing held; Nov 15 spec. permit issued setting forth these specific ten requirements must be observed plus all other county regulations.
1. Permit restricted to dump operation (despite this ruling dump operator continued to operate as a borrow-pit operation...none of our calls or complaints ever stopped borrow operation...it only stopped when he had sold and delivered all dirt he had;
 2. Dump materials restricted to specific items .
 3. Specific materials must be placed in specific areas.
 4. Dust must be suppressed by continuous wetting.
 5. Service roads must be oiled or surfaced.
 6. Gates must be locked or have attendant on duty at all times
 7. Dump operations restricted to 6 a.m. to 6 p.m.
 8. Any violation is cause for revocation.
- 50 At end of first six months operation under permit, there are so many violations we wired County Engineer 5-17-50 that permit be revoked
- 8-50 Rec. May 18, 1950 letter from C.E. Arnold, County Engineer and Surveyor signed by R.L. Gregory, Chief Deputy Co. Engineer and Surveyor, acknowledging wire and promising " we will keep you informed regarding our progress this matter"
- 3-50 C.E. Arnold County Engr. and Surveyor signed 5-23-50 letter enclosing numerous papers...closing sentence says "we believe your remaining questions relative to the regulations governing operation of this dump will be answered by reference to enclosed". No one answered or took specific steps relative to revoking this permit and reported to us what county had done about violations.
- 52 Dump operator secured 2 weeks permit to lay pipe on top of Greenleaf to drain off fluids from dump.
- 52 Property owners complained when pipe on ground beyond two weeks limit....county dept gave him second temporary permit...this time it runs three weeks, and is underground
- 53 Ten months later pipe still on top of street..contacted numerous county offices asking they insist this pipe be removed
- 53 Contacted all offices again demanding removal of this pipe....not one single county office replied except to say some other dept is enforcing but won't tell us which one
- Pipe still in ground

SFUND RECORDS CTR
2047-00062

April 53 Despite fact from Jul 49 forward most frequent and strenuous complaint was night noises original spec. permit gave property owners positive protection against this not until end of 1953 when we complained we cannot stand night noises were we advised that in April 53 operator secured Variance 911 to operate 24 hours a day; he secured this on basis of one positive lie and one positive and deliberate ambiguous statement; no property owner had fore knowledge or after knowledge this variance requested; we never had opportunity to expose his lie or to present our objections to nite time operation

End of
1953

7. Diagnostics
We have five months of bad fish oil smell at dump. Men working at dump vomit from the smell and operator knows of this; So. Whittier School closes doors and windows and some students and teachers become ill. See separate petition signed by over 100 persons; there were several hundred more wanting to sign petition but county officer said 100 signers more than enough. Middle of Dec 1953 we submitted this petition

This petition and another request to have this license revoked was mailed to THIRTY SEVEN persons and/or depts. To date our specific request for license revocation never reached proper person or proper dept....we enlisted aid of all thirty seven to accomplish revocation of license this time.

Nov
1953

Sent telegrams to Air Pollution; Regional Planning Commission and Industrial Waste to help us.

12-2-53 Regional Planning replied with 12-2-53 letter signed Milton Breifogel by John Commons; he answered part of our questions about permit requirements

12-22-53 Regional Planning, Milton Breifogel signed by John Commons answered part of our petition and request for permit revocation..investigation they made after we filed permit shows dump operating in accordance with all rules of permit; that between 12.00 noon and 1.00 p.m. on one day, Dec 18, 1953, he detected no odor; he states that on Dec 15, 1953 Industrial Waste investigator on Dec 15, 1953 could find no odor other than normal oil well waste odor; Industrial Waste issued order dump must comply with Ord 5130 to confine materials dumped to rotary drilling mud and solid fill and have 24 hour caretaker. On 12-22-53 dump was still obeying rules. This letter advised that our petition to revoke license sent to Exceptions and Permits Section for possible revocation,

"However, in conversation with Mr. J. Canear, it was disclosed that the dump would be abandoned within a few months as the area is being filled rapidly".

Exceptions and Permits Section did not reply to our petition.

On basis of above quoted statement, property Owners abandoned forcing issue at this date

1958

Five years after receiving above quoted statement dump is still in operation and still causing great distress to neighborhood through violations.

Feb 1957 Our first report of garbage-like or cess-pool like odor. Dump Owner cited three men in Feb 1957; court fined him \$100. first time; court fined him \$100. second time but suspended fine; court fined him \$100. third time but suspended fine.

April 1958 Same bad odor as Feb 1957 has never left us entirely...gone some times..back very light sometimes and varying in intensity from that to unbearable in April 1958. Citations issued in April 1958 do not come to a hearing where neighbors could be heard; May 1958 more citations issued. June More citations issued. Advised air pollution citation and fines not enough..we get no relief from smell...we want court hearing where we

2-12-56 Mr. [unclear] telephoned [unclear] violation about recurrence of [unclear] old dump. Inspector called [unclear] dump. Between [unclear] [unclear] noted odor and his call. [unclear] had subsided.

3-20-56 Additional complaints phoned. [unclear] admit odor not as bad as before but they are afraid this is only small beginning of another bad siege similar to previous bad five months and that will smell again. Inspector again called at dump and again called on neighbors.

4-25-56 More complaints. Inspector at dump site again. This time he talked with Mr. Caneer. Mr. Caneer told him they are absolutely accepting no material except rotary mud and solid fill permitted by their permit.

Nov 56 Waste Disposal applied for a permit to convert present dump into a refuse transfer disposal facility, garbage transfer station and junk yard.

Hundreds of persons objecting to above worked long hours, nights, etc. preparing papers, petitions, letters, history of dumps continuing violations to present at public hearing on above permit application.

This hearing opened with reading of telegram from applicants apologizing for misunderstanding in connection with the case. [unclear] wording was so distorted that it in no way represents our actual desire or intent and said such an operation would have been just as detrimental to their own industrial acreage as to that of the neighbors. Because of this misunderstanding and for purposes of clarification and education either the postponement of this hearing or at the discretion of the board, the complete abandonment of the application was asked for by their attorney.

This was countered by W. J. Turpin, attorney, representing Ford Alexander Co., nearby industry. He pointed out that room was filled with representatives of South Whittier School District, Greater Santa Fe Springs Industrial League, Roman Catholic Archdiocese and scores of residents had come in at considerable inconvenience and wanted it matter heard at once.

Waste Disposal attorney then asked for abandonment of this application for new permit.

Then before this meeting was read a long list of violations made by Waste Disposal and property owners complained no changes had ever resulted for many complaints and investigations. That in the past and still continuing is the practice Waste Disposal is able to secure from the county agencies special variances, etc. without securing a public hearing at which we would most certainly object; that on this last instance of a public hearing, the same as has happened in the past, registered property owners well within the required 500 feet of dump site did not get proper notification of a public hearing. In this meeting, as in previous meetings, the board agreed on regulations require that we do get such notice and we should have. Today Board Chairman Zell Hanchton suggested that future notices might well be sent registered mail to assure us this notice. The request was once again made today to this public hearing. [unclear] action can be done over and above our continued complaints, petitions, telegrams, proving the continuing operation of violations to secure revocation of this permit.

See separate report called BRIEF HISTORY OF FOUR SMALL VIOLATIONS beginning and dated February 12, 1957

BRIEF HISTORY OF FOUL SMELL VIOLATION
(See separate report for violations)

PAGE 1
FOUL SMELL
7-9-58

THIS IS ONLY FIRST PRINTING ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM COUNTY AGENCIES, FIELD INSPECTORS, LETTERS, TELEGRAMS, NEIGHBORS, ETC. NEW INFORMATION IS COMING IN RAPIDLY AND NEW BULLETINS WILL BE PRINTED SHOWING THIS NEW INFORMATION.

PLEASE AS EACH OF YOU READS THIS IF YOU CAN ADD TO OR CORRECT, OR CONFIRM WHAT IS WRITTEN, OR HELP IN ANYWAY, PHONE THE SECRETARY BELOW TO LEAVE YOUR NAME AND THE PHONE NUMBER SO ONE OF THE SPOKESMAN CAN CONTACT YOU.

2-12-57 THIS DATE SMELL SO BAD MANY ARE SICK..MANY VOMITTING..MANY UNABLE TO EAT. WINDOWS MUST BE CLOSED..CHILDREN CANT PLAY OUTSIDE, ETC.

ONE FIELD INSPECTOR REPORTS FLUID DUMPED WHILE HE IS THERE HAS NO SMELL BUT THERE IS A SMALL AT DUMP. HE TALKED WITH MANAGER WHO ADMITS HE HAS NOTICED SMELL BAD TODAY AND HE NOTICED SMELL PREVIOUSLY AND IT LOOKS LIKE "SOME ONE SLIPPED SOMETHING OVER ON US AGAIN".

SAME DATE OTHER INSPECTOR FROM PETROLEUM SECTION WAS AT DUMP AND SAID YES ODOOR DOES COME FROM DUMP..IT IS A STRONG AND UNPLEASANT ODOOR AND IT TRAVELS WITH THE WIND. THIS INSPECTOR TALKED TO DUMP MANAGER WHO ADMITTED TO HIM THERE IS A BAD SMELL, IT IS VERY HEAVY TODAY AND HE DID NOTICE IT BEFORE TODAY.

TODAY IS DATE OF FIRST CITATION ISSUED

2-13-57 ODOOR VERY BAD AGAIN TODAY. SAME COMPLAINTS, HEADACHE, VOMITTING, CANT EAT, CANT SLEEP, CHILDREN COMPLAINING, ETC.

INSPECTOR CALLED AT DUMP. CAMEER WAS THERE AGAIN. (CAMEER IS ONE OF DUMP OWNERS). CAMEER TOLD HIM THAT LOAD CAME FROM ARCHER-MIDLAND-DANIELS. INSPECTOR ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. INSPECTOR TODAY NOTICED THERE IS AN ALUMINUM PIPE RUNNING FROM DUMP DOWN GREENLEAF AVE..MANY LEAKS FROM PIPE TO STREET SHOW THERE IS OIL IN THIS WATER. (BELIEVE PUTTING OIL IN SEWER LINE IS VIOLATION..WE ARE CHECKING THIS OUT).

Feb. 2-14-57 INSPECTOR AT DUMP TODAY. ODOOR VERY WEAK SO HE DID NOT ISSUE NOTICE. CAMEER WAS THERE AND INSPECTOR TALKED TO HIM: CAMEER SAID THEY WILL INVESTIGATE POSSIBILITY OF SPRAYING TO ALLEVIATE (ODOOR MAY HAVE BEEN WEAK WHEN INSPECTOR THERE BUT LATER IN DAY WIND CAME UP AND BY EVENING ODOOR AT NEIGHBORS HOUSES VERY BAD AGAIN).

2-18-57 INSPECTOR AT DUMP AGAIN TODAY. STILL SAME ODOOR AS LAST WEEK SO HE ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. INSPECTOR TALKED TO CAMEER AND CAMEER SAYS HE "THINKS" ODOOR IS DISAPPEARING BUT HE WILL TRY TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT BUT HE HASNT BEEN ABLE TO DO ANYTHING YET (TODAY NEIGHBORS AGAIN COMPLAINED ODOOR IS BAD, NOT DISAPPEARING).

Mar 2-27-58 WAS DATE WASTE DISPOSAL APPEARED IN WHITTIER MUNICIPAL COURT. COURT CASES NUMBER M11447, M11449 and M11519. ONE CASE FOR COMPLAINT OF 2-13; ONE CASE COVERED VIOLATION OF 2-18; AND COVERED VIOLATION OF 2-12. ATTORNEY M. LASLEVEN IS THEIR ATTORNEY FOR ARRAIGNMENT AND TOM DEWLEY IS ATTORNEY FOR COURT APPEARANCE ON MARCH 27. THESE THREE CASES HEARD AT SAME TIME AS SEPARATE CASES. ONE CASE FINED \$100. AND PAID; OTHER TWO CASES FINED \$100. EACH BUT FINES SUSPENDED. OWNERS PLEAD GUILTY TO ALL THREE CASES.

ALL THREE CASES WERE VIOLATION OF SECTION 24243 HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE

continued.

PRIEST HISTORY FOUL SMELL VIOLATION

3-27-58 SECTION 24243 HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE reads:

PERSONS SHALL NOT DISCHARGE FROM ANY SOURCE WHATSOEVER SUCH QUANTITIES OF AIR CONTAMINANTS OR OTHER MATERIALS WHICH CAUSE INJURY, DETRIMENT, HUISSANCE OR ANNOYANCE TO ANY CONSIDERABLE NUMBER OF PERSONS OR TO THE PUBLIC WHICH ENDANGERS THE COMFORT, REPOSE, HEALTH OR SAFETY OF ANY SUCH PERSONS OR THE PUBLIC OR WHICH CAUSE OR HAVE A NATURAL TENDENCY TO CAUSE INJURY OR DAMAGE TO BUSINESS OR PROPERTY

4-15-58 MORE COMPLAINTS IN ABOUT ODOR LIKE ROTTEN GARBAGE REPORTED. INSPECTOR CALLED AT DUMP. INSPECTOR REPORTS ODOR IS VERY BAD. IT IS A STRONG ACID ODOR LIKE CESSPOOL WASHOUT. THE MANAGER OF THE DUMP INSPECTED THE DUMP WITH THIS INSPECTOR AND ADMITS HE SMELLS BAD ODOR BUT DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IT CAN BE. INSPECTOR SAID TO HIM IT SMELLS LIKE CESSPOOL WASHOUT.

....MANAGER AT DUMP REPLIED TO INSPECTOR: OH NO, I DON'T THINK IT CAN BE THAT....WE HAVE NOT TAKEN IN ANY CESSPOOL DUMPINGS HERE FOR A MONTH NOW .

INSPECTOR REPLIED TO HIM, THEN YOU HAVEN'T TAKEN IN ANY CESSPOOL DUMPINGS SINCE ABOUT MARCH 15.

DUMP MANAGER SAID YES THAT IS RIGHT.

THREE MORE FIELD INSPECTORS CAME TO SITE TODAY. SOME SMELLED IT STRONG. SOME DIDN'T SMELL IT AT ALL. ONE INSPECTOR WHO SAID HE DIDN'T SMELL IT DURING THE DAY REPORTED THAT WIND WHICH CAME UP 5:40 P.M. TODAY BROUGHT ODOR WHICH IS NOW VERY STRONG, VERY OBVIOUS AND A GARAGE-LIKE ODOR.

5-8-58 NEIGHBORS COMPLAINED OF FOUL ODOR AGAIN. INSPECTOR WENT TO SITE. TIME OF DAY HE MADE HIS INSPECTION THE ODOR IS SLIGHT. WHILE HE WAS THERE THEY WERE DUMPING A 60 PBL. TRUCK OF SLUSH PICKED UP FROM UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD DEFERMENT TANK AT THEIR RAILROAD CAR WASHING OPERATION ON WASHINGTON BOULEVARD IN LOS ANGELES. INSPECTOR NOTICED IT HAD A SLIGHT ODOR OF OIL TO IT BUT HE COULD NOT DETECT FOUL SMELL IN THIS LOAD.

(WE ARE STILL ASKING FOR INVESTIGATION TO DETERMINE IF ANY DUMPINGS FROM UNION OIL RAILROAD CONTAIN ANY TYPE OF DUMPING FROM TOILETS, CHEMICAL TOILET TANKS, ETC. ETC.)

THIS DATE THIS INSPECTOR TALKED TO THREE CORPORATE OFFICERS OF WASTE DISPOSAL AND? ALL HE GOT FROM THEM WAS A GOOD CHEWING OUT... MR. CARTER THE VICE PRESIDENT CARRIED ON AND SAID HE WILL FIGHT THESE COMPLAINTS IF HE HAS TO GO TO THE SUPREME COURT. MR. PITTS SAYS HE IS NOT CAUSE OF FOUL SMELL THAT IT COMES FROM PRODUCTOL COMPANY

THIS INSPECTOR THEN TALKED TO THE MANAGER OF THIS DUMP BUT SUDDENLY TODAY THIS MANAGER DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT ANYTHING THAT IS GOING ON.

5-2 INSPECTOR IN FIELD TODAY NOTICED FAINT ODOR BUT IT RESEMBLES OIL OR FISH OIL MORE THAN FOUL ODOR WHEN SMELLED CLOSE TO DUMP

7-6 MORE COMPLAINTS. INSPECTOR INSPECTED DUMP AND AGAIN ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. TODAY THE MANAGER ADMITTED TO THE INSPECTOR THERE IS AN ODOR BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IT IS. HE GUESSES THAT PREVIOUS DUMP THAT CAUSED ODOR AFTER A TIME SETTLED TO BOTTOM AND ALLEVIATED ODOR IN THE AIR BUT WHEN THEY DUMP NEW MATERIALS ON TOP OF IT, IT CAUSES PREVIOUS ODOR CAUSING DUMPINGS TO RISE TO SURFACE AND START FOUL ODOR ALL OVER AGAIN. INSPECTOR TODAY SAYS HE DESCRIBES ODOR AS ROTTEN GARBAGE OR CESSPOOL.

continued

BRIEF HISTORY FOUL SMELL VIOLATION.

6-10-58 AIR POLLUTION FIELD INSPECTOR AT 8.A.M. CALLED ON GREENLEAF TO PICK UP NEW BATCH OF CITATIONS NEIGHBORS HAD SIGNED...ASKED HIM HOW MANY MORE OF THESE DO WE HAVE TO SIGN BEFORE SOMETHING IS DONE...NOTHING CAN BE DONE UNTIL COURT HEARING SCHEDULED FOR JULY. WE SAID WE CANNOT STAND SMELL FROM NOW TO THEN...HE SAID WE THOUGHT YOU HAVE BEEN HAVING RELIEF FROM SPRAYING THEY HAVE DONE. WE ASKED HIM DID YOU ORDER THEM TO SPRAY. HE SAID NO DUMP OPERATOR VOLUNTEERED TO SPRAY. WE SAID DO YOU KNOW FROM YOUR OWN INSPECTION HE REALLY AS DONE ANY SPRAYING AT ALL SINCE HE VOLUNTEERED. INSPECTOR SAID NO BUT I WILL GO TO DUMP RIGHT FROM HERE AND FIND OUT. HE DID AND THEY HAVE DONE NO SPRAYING.

TODAY ALSO TOLD INSPECTOR WE DONT WANT ANYMORE OF THE SAME COURT HEARINGS THEY HAVE HAD IN THE PAST WHERE HE SIMPLY PLEADS GUILTY AND SMALL AMOUNT OF FINE IS LEVIED AND EVEN FINES LEVIED ARE SUSPENDED. THIS TIME WE INSIST ON A COURT HEARING WHERE WE CAN BE HEARD..... WILL HE ARRANGE FOR THIS OR SHALL WE HIRE AN ATTORNEY TO GET IT DONE FOR US....HE SAID NO, I WILL ARRANGE FOR A HEARING WHERE YOU CAN BE HEARD (AND HE DID)

6-12-58 INSPECTOR CALLED AT DUMP AND AFFIRMED THEY HAVE DONE FIRST SPRAYING OF DUMP WITH CH EMICAL...PLEASE NOTE IT WAS NOT DONE UNTIL ~~HE~~ INSPECTOR MADE A BOVE 6-10- CALL. INSPECTOR REPORTS THEY WILL SPRAY ONCE A MONTH FOR SIX MONTHS. INSPECTOR MAKES A NOTE FOR THE FILES..."MAKE CHECKS TO SEE THAT THEY DO THIS". (OUR QUESTION IS....DOES EVERY ONE EXPECT US TO PUT UP WITH THIS ODOR FOR SIX MONTHS MORE?).

6-15-57 MORE COMPLAINTS OF FOUL ODOR PHONED. INSPECTOR MADE INSPECTION AT DUMP. THE TIME OF DAY HE WAS THERE HE NOTED NO EXCESSIVE ODORS. HE SAW THREE TRUCKS DUMPING BUT THEY DIDNT CONTAIN ODOR (WE ALL NOW KNOW AND COUNTY AGENCIES ADMIT THAT COMPLAINTS ARE PHONED IN WHEN ODOR IS BAD. BY TIME INSPECTOR GETS THERE ODOR IS NOT NOTICEABLE. WE ALSO SAY WHEN THERE IS NO ODOR AT DUMP IT IS BECAUSE THE WIND HAS BLOWN IT TO OUR HOUSES... COME TO OUR HOUSES TO SMELL ODOR WHEN WE PHONE, NOT NECESSARILY TRY TO SMELL IT AT DUMP)

6-16-58 MORE COMPLAINTS PHONED IN. INSPECTOR TO DUMP. TODAY THE MANAGER AT DUMP SAYS HE HASNT NOTICED THE DUM. INSPECTOR SAYS HE DETECTS SLIGHT ODOR AT DUMP. HIS REPORT ALSO STATES THAT FOUL ODOR DOES COME AND GO FROM DUMP AREA DEPENDING HOW THE WIND SHIFTS. HE ALSO REPORTS THAT STRENGTH OF ODOR AT DUMP AND STRENGTH OF ODOR AT HOUSES IS SAME AND THAT THE ODOR DONT LOOSE ANY STRENGTH DURING TIME WIND BLOWS IT TO OUR HOUSES. AGAIN TODAY INSPECTOR IS ADVISED DUMP OPERATOR WILL VOLUNTARILY SPRAY ONCE A MONTH FOR SIX MONTHS

6-30-58 SUBPOENAS FOR COURT HEARING SERVED IN NEIGHBORHOOD. SMELL STILL COMES AND GOES, LIGHT MEDIUM AND HEAVY. MONDAY NIGHT SEVEN P.M. GROUP OF NEIGHBORS STOOD OUTSIDE ST. PAUL'S HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM WAITING TO START NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING...WHILE TALKING SUDDENLY WIND BLEW OVER ANOTHER WAVE OF GARBAGE SMELL...WE ALL NOTED IT AS A GROUP...NEW CITATIONS ARE BEING PREPARED ON THIS INCIDENT AND WILL BE FILED.

JULY 4-1958 NABORS HOME JULY 4,5, and 6 FOR FOURTH OF JULY HOLIDAY. POSITIVELY ALL THESE THREE DAYS WAVES OF FOUL ODOR BLEW OVER TO OUR HOUSES. NEW CITATIONS ON THIS FOURTH OF JULY OFFENSE ARE BEING PREPARED AND WILL BE FILED.

7-7-58 WHITTIER MUNICIPAL COURT. SOUTH PAINTER AVENUE, WHITTIER. BECAUSE IT WAS REQUESTED THAT THE COURT SET ASIDE TWO COMPLETE DAYS TO HEAR THIS CASE, HEARING SET FORTH TO SEPTEMBER 24 and 25th, 1958

7-2-58 SENT LONG TELEGRAM TO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION ASK THEM TO MAKE INVESTIGATION AND TO START PROCEEDINGS TO REVOKE THIS DUMP LICENSE

7-6-58 SENT LONG TELEGRAMS TO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION...TO INDUSTRIAL WASTE...TO COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT. ASKING ALL TO INVESTIGATE, PROSECUTE, CLOSE DOWN, ETC.ETC.ETC. ATTACHED ARE COPIES OF ABOVE TELEGRAMS

(WATCH FOR OUR FUTURE PRINTINGS - REMEMBER TO GIVE US ALL THE HELP YOU CAN) ETC.
(WATCH WHITTIER NEWSPAPER FOR ARTICLES ON THIS FOUL ODOR VIOLATION)

End of
1953

This petition and another request to have this license revoked was mailed to THIRTY SEVEN persons and/or depts. To date our specific request for license revocation never reached proper person or proper dept....we enlisted aid of all thirty seven to accomplish revocation of license this time. .

Sent telegrams to Air Pollution; Regional Planning Commission and Industrial Waste to help us.

12-22-53 Regional Planning, Milton Breivogel signed by John Commons answered part of our petition and request for permit revocation. Investigation they made after we filed permit shows dump operating in accordance with all rules of permit; that between 12.00 noon and 1.00 p.m. on one day, Dec 18, 1953, he detected no odor; he states that on Dec 15, 1953 Indus trial Waste investigator on Dec 15, 1953 could find no odor other than normal oil well waste odor; Industrial Waste issued order dump must comply with Ord 6130 to confine materials dumped to rotary drilling mud and solid fill and have 24 hour caretaker. On 12-22-53 dump was still obeying rules. This letter advised that our petition to revoke license sent to Exceptions and Permits Section for possible revocation.

Exceptions and Permits Section did not reply to our petition.

Five years after receiving above quoted statement dump is still in operation and still causing great distress to neighborhood through violations.

[illegible]

2-17-30. Minton again phoned air pollution board, recurrence of old dump. (Inspector called to dump. Dates of the orders noted and his call order had subsided.

3-20-30. Additional complaints phoned. Minton admit order not as bad as before but they are afraid that it will begin again if another bad piece similar to previous had five months tax but not call charge. Inspector again called at dump and again called on others.

4-26-30. More complaints. Inspector at dump site again. This time he talked with Mr. Caneer. Mr. Caneer told him they are absolutely accepting no material except money mud and could fill permitted by their permit.

May 30. Waste Disposal applied for a permit to convert present dump into a refuse transfer disposal facility, garbage transfer station and junk yard.

Hundreds of persons objecting to above sort of long hours, nights, etc. preparing permits, regulations, letters, history of dumps containing violations to present at public hearing on above public application.

That hearing opened with reading of telegram from applicants apologizing for misunderstanding in connection with the case. wording was so distorted that it is no way represents our actual desire or intent and said such an operation would have been just as detrimental as their own industrial acreage as to that of the neighbors. Because of this misunderstanding and for purposes of clarification and education either the postponement of this hearing or at the discretion of the board, the complete abandonment of the application was asked for by their attorney.

That was coordinated by W. J. Turpin, attorney, representing Ford Alexander Co., nearby industry who pointed out that room was filled with representatives of South Whittier School District, Greenleaf School, Seaside Springs Industrial Lodge, Roman Catholic All-Saints and scores of residents and came in at considerable inconvenience and wasted the matter heard at once.

Waste Disposal attorney then asked for abandonment of this application for new permit.

Even before this meeting was read a long list of violations made by Waste Disposal and property owners complained no charges had ever resulted for many complaints and investigations. That is the fact and still continuing is the practice Waste Disposal is able to secure from the county agencies special variances, etc. without securing a public hearing at which we would be seriously objecting. Then on this day instance of a public hearing, the same is has happened in the past, registered property owners well within the required 500 feet of dump site and no proper notification of a public hearing. In this meeting, as in previous meetings, the board requested by regulation require that we do give each notice and we should have. Very soon after that Mr. Hamilton suggested that future notices might well be sent registered mail to all persons on the notice. The request was over ruled today by that public hearing. The action was to be done over and there was continued confusion, confusion, telegrams, notices, etc. the number of violation of violations no longer a question of this permit.

For the report called. WASTE DISPOSAL OF FORD ALEXANDER COMPANY

WASTE DISPOSAL CO. 1930

BRIEF HISTORY OF FOUL SMELL VIOLATION
(See separate report for prior violations)

PAGE 1
FOUL SMELL
7-9-58

THIS IS ONLY FIRST PRINTING ON THE BASIS OF INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM COUNTY AGENCIES, FIELD INSPECTORS, LETTERS, TELEGRAMS, NEIGHBORS, ETC. NEW INFORMATION IS COMING IN RAPIDLY AND NEW BULLETINS WILL BE PRINTED SHOWING THIS NEW INFORMATION.

PLEASE AS EACH OF YOU READS THIS IF YOU CAN ADD TO OR CORRECT, OR CONFIRM WHAT IS WRITTEN, OR HELP IN ANYWAY, PHONE THE SECRETARY BELOW TO LEAVE YOUR NAME AND THE PHONE NUMBER SO ONE OF THE SPOKESMAN CAN CONTACT YOU.

2-12-57 THIS DATE SMELL SO BAD MANY ARE SICK. MANY VOMITTING. MANY UNABLE TO EAT. WINDOWS MUST BE CLOSED. CHILDREN CANT PLAY OUTSIDE, ETC.

ONE FIELD INSPECTOR REPORTS FLUID DUMPED WHILE HE IS THERE WAS NO SMELL BUT THERE IS A SMELL AT DUMP. HE TALKED WITH MANAGER WHO ADMITS HE HAS NOTICED SMELL BAD TODAY AND HE NOTICED SMELL PREVIOUSLY AND IT LOOKS LIKE "SOME ONE SLIPPED SOMETHING OVER ON US AGAIN".

SAME DATE OTHER INSPECTOR FROM PETROLEUM SECTION WAS AT DUMP AND SAID YES ODOOR DOES COME FROM DUMP. IT IS A STRONG AND UNPLEASANT ODOOR AND IT TRAVELS WITH THE WIND. THIS INSPECTOR TALKED TO DUMP MANAGER WHO ADMITTED TO HIM THERE IS A BAD SMELL, IT IS VERY HEAVY TODAY AND HE DID NOTICE IT BEFORE TODAY.

TODAY IS DATE OF FIRST CITATION ISSUED

2-13-57 ODOOR VERY BAD AGAIN TODAY. SAME COMPLAINTS, HEADACHE, VOMITTING, CANT EAT, CANT SLEEP, CHILDREN COMPLAINING, ETC.

INSPECTOR CALLED AT DUMP. CARRIER WAS THERE AGAIN. (CARRIER IS ONE OF DUMP OWNERS). CARRIER TOLD HIM THAT LOAD CAME FROM ARCHER-HIGHLAND-DANIELS. INSPECTOR ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. INSPECTOR TODAY NOTICED THERE IS AN ALUMINUM PIPE RUNNING FROM DUMP DOWN GREENLEAF AVE. MANY LEAKS FROM PIPE TO STREET SHOW THERE IS OIL IN THIS WATER. (BELIEVE PUTTING OIL IN SEWER LINE IS VIOLATION. WE ARE CHECKING THIS OUT).

Feb. 2-14-57 INSPECTOR AT DUMP TODAY. ODOOR VERY WEAK SO HE DID NOT ISSUE NOTICE. CARRIER WAS THERE AND INSPECTOR TALKED TO HIM: CARRIER SAID THEY WILL INVESTIGATE POSSIBILITY OF SPRAYING TO ALLEVIATE (ODOOR MAY HAVE BEEN WEAK WHEN INSPECTOR THERE BUT LATER IN DAY WIND CAME UP AND BY EVENING ODOOR AT NEIGHBORS HOUSES VERY BAD AGAIN).

2-18-57 INSPECTOR AT DUMP AGAIN TODAY. STILL SAME ODOOR AS LAST WEEK SO HE ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. INSPECTOR TALKED TO CARRIER AND CARRIER SAYS HE THINKS ODOOR IS DISAPPEARING BUT HE WILL TRY TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT BUT HE HASNT BEEN ABLE TO DO ANYTHING YET (TODAY NEIGHBORS AGAIN COMPLAINED ODOOR IS BAD, NOT DISAPPEARING).

Mar 3-27-58 WAS DATE WASTE DISPOSAL APPEARED IN WHITTIER MUNICIPAL COURT. COURT CASES NUMBER M11447, M11449 and M11519. ONE CASE FOR COMPLAINT OF 2-18. ONE CASE COVERED VIOLATION OF 2-18; AND COVERED VIOLATION OF 2-12. ATTORNEY PHIL LASLEVEN IS THEIR ATTORNEY FOR ASSIGNMENT AND TOM DEVLEY IS ATTORNEY FOR COURT APPEARANCE ON MARCH 27. THESE THREE CASES HEARD AT SAME TIME AS SEPARATE CASES. ONE CASE FINED \$100. AND PAID; OTHER TWO CASES FINED \$100. EACH BUT FINES SUSPENDED. OWNERS PLEAD GUILTY TO ALL THREE CASES.

ALL THREE CASES WERE VIOLATION OF SECTION 24243 HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE.

continued.

REPORT INVESTIGATION FOUL SMELL VIOLATION

3-27-58 SECTION 2(2)(3) HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE reads:

PERSONS SHALL NOT DISPOSE OF ANY SOLID WASTE OR SUCH QUANTITIES OF AIR CONTAMINANTS OR OTHER MATERIALS WHICH CAUSE THIRTY, DETRIMENT, HARMFUL OR ANNOYANCE TO ANY CONSIDERABLE NUMBER OF PERSONS OR TO THE PUBLIC WHICH ENDANGERS THE COMFORT, REPOSE, HEALTH OR SAFETY OF ANY CITY, TOWNSHIP OR THE PUBLIC OR WHICH CAUSE OR HAVE A NATURAL TENDENCY TO CAUSE INJURY OR DAMAGE TO BUSINESS OR PROPERTY

4-15-58 NOTE COMPLAINTS RE ABOUT ODOR LIKE ROTTEN CARPASC REPORTED. INSPECTOR CALLED AT DEER. INSPECTION REPORTS ODOR IS VERY BAD. IT IS A STRONG ACID ODOR LIKE CESSPOOL WASHOUT. THE MANAGER OF THE DUMP INSPECTED THE DUMP WITH THIS INSPECTOR AND ADMITS HE SMELLS BAD ODOR BUT DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IT CAN BE. INSPECTOR SAID TO HIM IT SMELLS LIKE CESSPOOL WASHOUT.

....MANAGER AT DUMP REPLIED TO INSPECTOR: OH NO. I DON'T THINK IT CAN BE

THAT.....WE HAVE NOT TAKEN IN ANY CESSPOOL DUMPINGS HERE FOR A MONTH NOW.

INSPECTOR REPLIED TO HIM, THEN YOU HAVEN'T TAKEN IN ANY CESSPOOL DUMPINGS SINCE ABOUT MARCH 15.

DUMP MANAGER SAID YES THAT IS RIGHT.

THREE MORE FUND INSPECTORS CAME TO SITE TODAY. SOME SMELLED IT STRONG. SOME DIDN'T SMELL IT AT ALL. THE INSPECTOR WHO SAID HE DIDN'T SMELL IT DURING THE DAY REPORTED THAT WIND WHICH CAME UP 5:40 P.M. TODAY FREQUENT ODOR WHICH IS NOW VERY STRONG, VERY OBVIOUS AND A GARAGE-LIKE ODOR.

5-8-58 NEIGHBORS COMPLAINED OF FOUL ODOR AGAIN. INSPECTOR WENT TO SITE. TIME OF DAY HE MADE HIS INSPECTION THE ODOR IS SLIGHT. BELIE HE WAS THERE THEY WERE DUMPING A 60 GAL. TRUCK OF SLUSH PICKED UP FROM UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD DEFERENT TANK AT THEIR RAILROAD CAR WASHING OPERATION ON WASHINGTON HIGHWAY IN LOS ANGELES. INSPECTOR NOTICED IT HAD A SLIGHT ODOR OF OIL TO IT BUT HE COULD NOT DETECT FOUL SMELL IN THIS LOAD.

(WE ARE STILL ASKING FOR INVESTIGATION TOD EVENING IF ANY DUMPINGS FROM UNION OIL RAILROAD COME IN ANY TYPE OF DUMPING FROM TOILETS, CEMICAL TOILET TANKS, ETC. ETC.)

THIS DATE THIS INSPECTOR TALKED TO THREE CORPORATE OFFICERS OF WASTE DISPOSAL AND

.....? ALL BE GOT FROM THEM WAS A COLD CHUCKING CUL... MR. CARTER THE VICE PRESIDENT CARMIND G. AND SAID HE WILL FIND THESE COMPLAINTS IF HE HAS TO GO TO THE

SUPREME COURT. MR. PETERS SAYS HE IS NOT CAUSE OF FOUL SMELL THAT IT COMES FROM PRODUCTION COMPANY

THIS INSPECTOR THEN TALKED TO THE MANAGER OF THIS DUMP BUT SUDDENLY TODAY THIS MANAGER DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT ANYTHING THAT IS GOING ON.

6-2 INSPECTOR IN FIELD TODAY NOTICED IN THE ODOR BUT IT RESEMBLES OIL OR FISH OIL MORE THAN FOUL ODOR WHICH SMELLED CLOSED NO DUMP

9-6 NOTE COMPLAINTS. INSPECTOR INSPECTED DUMP AND AGAIN ISSUED ANOTHER NOTICE. TODAY THE MANAGER ADMITTED TO THE INSPECTOR THERE IS AN ODOR BUT HE DOESN'T KNOW WHAT IT IS. HE GUESSES THAT PROBABLY DUMP THAT CAME ODOR AFTER A FIRE SETTER TO BOTTOM AND ALIQUATED ODOR IN THE AIR BUT WHEN THEY DUMP ARE MATERIALS ON TOP OF IT. IT GIVES A PERSISTENT ODOR CAUSING DUFFING NOISES TO BE HEARD AND SMELL SOME ODOR ALL OVER AROUND. INSPECTOR TODAY SAYS HE DESCRIBES ODOR AS ROTTEN CARPASC OR CESSPOOL.

continued

BRITISH HISTORY FOR ALL VIOLATION.

6-10-58 AIR POLICE/FILED INSPIRATOR AT 8.A.M.CALLED ON GREENLEAF TO PICK UP NEW BATCH OF CLOTHING NEIGHBORS HAD SIGNED. ASKED HIM HOW MANY MORE OF THESE DO WE HAVE TO SIGN BEFORE SOMETHING IS DONE... NOTHING CAN BE DONE UNTIL COURT HEARING SCHEDULED FOR JULY. WE SAID WE CANNOT STAND SILENT FROM NOW TO THEN... HE SAID WE THOUGHT YOU HAVE BEEN HAVING MELTUP FROM SPRAYING THEY HAVE DONE. WE ASKED HIM DID YOU ORDER THEM TO SPRAY. HE SAID NO DUMP OPERATOR VOLUNTEERED TO SPRAY. WE SAID DO YOU KNOW FROM YOUR OWN INSPECTION THE HEALTHY AS DONE ANY SPRAYING AT ALL SINCE HE VOLUNTEERED. INSPECTOR SAID NO BUT I WILL GO TO DUMP RIGHT FROM HERE AND FIND OUT. HE DID AND THEY HAVE DONE NO SPRAYING.

TODAY ALSO TOLD INSPECTOR WE DON'T WANT ANYMORE OF THE SAME COURT HEARINGS THAT HAVE HAD IN THE PAST BEING BE SIMPLY PLEADS GUILTY AND SMALL AMOUNT OF FINE IS LEVIED AND EVEN FINES LEVIED ARE SUSPENDED. THIS TIME WE INSIST ON A COURT HEARING WHERE WE CAN BE HEARD..... WILL BE ADEQUATE FOR THIS OR SHALL WE HIRE AN ATTORNEY TO GET IT DONE FOR US....HE SAID NO, I WILL ARRANGE FOR A HEARING WHERE YOU CAN BE HEARD (AND HE DID)

6-12-58 INSPECTOR CALLED AT DONT AND AFFIRMED THEY HAVE DONE FIRST SPRAYING OF DUMP WITH CEI EMICAL... PLEASE NOTE IT WAS NOT DONE UNTIL ERRAN INSPECTOR MADE A BOVE 6-10- CALL. INSPECTOR REPORTS THEY WILL SPRAY ONCE A MONTH FOR SIX MONTHS. INSPECTOR MAKES A NOTE FOR THE FILES... "MAKE CHECKS TO SEE THAT THEY DO THIS". (OUR QUESTION IS... DOES EVERYONE EXPECT US TO PUT UP WITH THAT ODOR FOR SIX MONTHS MORE?).

6-15-57 MORE COMPLAINTS OF FOUL ODOR PHONED. INSPECTOR MADE INSPECTION AT DUMP. THE TIME OF DAY HE WAS THERE HE NOTED NO EXCESSIVE ODORS. HE SAW THREE TRUCKS DUMPING BUT THEY DIDN'T OPENAIN ODOR (WE ALL NOW KNOW AND COUNTY AGENCIES ADMIT THAT COMPLAINTS ARE PHONED IN WHEN ODOR IS BAD. BY TIME INSPECTOR GETS THERE ODOR IS NOT NOTICEABLE. WE ALSO SAY WHEN THERE IS NO ODOR AT DUMP IT IS BECAUSE THE WIND HAS BLOWN IT TO OUR HOUSES... COME TO OUR HOUSES TO SMELL ODOR WHEN WE PHONE, NOT NECESSARILY TRY TO SMELL IT AT DUMP)

6-16-58 MORE COMPLAINTS PHONED IN. INSPECTOR TO DUMP. TODAY THE MANAGER AT DUMP SAYS HE HASN'T NOTICED THE DUM. INSPECTOR SAYS HE DETECTS SLIGHT ODOR AT DUMP. HIS REPORT ALSO SAYS THE DUMP FOUT ODOR DOES COME AND GO FROM DUMP AREA DEPENDING HOW THE WIND SHIFTS. HE ALSO REPORTS THAT STRENGTH OF ODOR AT DUMP AND STRENGTH OF ODOR AT HOUSES IS SAME AND THAT THE ODOR DOESN'T LOOSE ANY STRENGTH DURING TIME WIND BLOWS IT TO OUR HOUSES. AGAIN TODAY INSPECTOR IS ADVISED DUMP OPERATOR WILL VOLUNTARILY SPRAY ONCE A MONTH FOR SIX MONTHS

3. 30-52 STEPHENSON PER COURT HEARING SERVED IN NEIGHBORHOOD. SPELL STILL COMES AND GOES, LITERS MEDICINE AND HEAVY. MONDAY NIGHT SEVEN P.M. GROUP OF NEIGHBORS SING OUTSIDE ST. PATRICK HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM WAITING TO START NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING... WHILE TAKING SMOOTH. WHO THEY OVER ANOTHER WAVE OF GARBAGE SPELL... WE ALL NOTED IT AS A GROUP... NEW CITIZENS AND HEARD REPORTED ON THIS INCIDENT AND WILL BE FILED.

FROM 4, 1953 (MAYBE) HOME JULY 1, 5, and 6 FOR FOURTH OF JULY HOLIDAY. POSITIVELY AND THREE THREE DRAWS LAYERS OF YOUR GORN HERE OVER TO OUR HOUSES. NOW CITATIONS ON THIS PORTION OF JULY 1953 ARE BEING PREPARED AND WILL BE FILED.

7-27-60 - ELIZABETH BENEFICIAL CORP., 80 WEST PARKWAY AVENUE, WILMINGTON BECAUSE IT WAS REQUESTED THAT THEY COME AND GET ASHLEY TWO CONSECUTIVE DAYS TO HEAR THIS CASE, FLEETING SET FORTH TO SEATTLE ON 8-1-60 AND GOING, 1960

THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE HAS BEEN TO PAY THE DIFFERENTIAL AND TO COVER THE LOSS OF THE DIFFERENTIAL TO THE FARMER.

1. The first step in the process of creating a new product is to identify a market need. This involves conducting market research to understand the preferences and behaviors of potential customers. Once a need is identified, the next step is to develop a concept that addresses this need. This concept should be unique, valuable, and feasible. The third step is to create a prototype of the product. This allows the team to test the concept and make necessary adjustments. The fourth step is to conduct a pilot test, where the product is introduced to a small group of customers to gather feedback. Finally, the product is launched into the market, and the team monitors its performance and makes further improvements as needed.